- 1 defendant and saying, we believe you are violating U.S.
- 2 law and we seek redress for that, that is perfectly
- 3 appropriate.
- If I could turn to the injury question, the
- 5 RICO statute incorporated language of the Clayton Act
- 6 which, before 1970, had been construed to permit foreign
- 7 plaintiffs to come into the United States and obtain
- 8 foreign damages as a result of U.S. violations by the
- 9 company in the United States.
- 10 The Continental Ore case, which was decided
- 11 by this Court in 1962, is directly on point. That case
- 12 holds that injury suffered by Canadian entities could
- 13 come in and come into the United States and get damages
- 14 as a result of the violation of the Clayton Act.
- In Pfizer, the government of India, coupled
- 16 with governments in Iran and the Philippines, came to
- 17 the United States and alleged that Pfizer owned --
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm -- just a moment. I
- 19 know this. You're absolutely right on that. The -- the
- 20 question that -- can you, when -- when you sue -- the EU
- 21 sues, A, an American company. Now, it's been in league
- 22 with six foreign countries, and they have agreements.
- 23 And what the crime is, is money laundering, and the
- 24 money laundering took place in Belgium, and you win.
- 25 Now, can you go to Belgium and get the damages? You

- 1 see?
- 2 There are six Belgium banks. They are not
- 3 accused. They are unindicted co-conspirators.
- 4 And under this -- does this statute allow a -- the EU to
- 5 come to Philadelphia, find RJR, accuse them of money
- 6 laundering with unindicted co-conspirators in Brussels,
- 7 get damages, and then go to Brussels and collect them
- 8 from the other banks?
- 9 MR. FREDERICK: I -- I would assume that the
- 10 Belgium courts -- and I'm not going to profess to be an
- 11 expert on the enforceability of judgments in Belgium,
- 12 Justice Breyer, and so I give you that answer.
- JUSTICE BREYER: But do they get a judgment
- 14 against the foreign bank?
- MR. FREDERICK: To get a -- well, presuming
- 16 that there was personal jurisdiction in the United
- 17 States, assume --
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: The EU -- the jurisdiction
- 19 only against RJR unindicted co-conspirators or helpers,
- 20 whatever.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK: I -- I don't know the answer
- 22 to your question.
- 23 JUSTICE BREYER: I think they are worried
- 24 about something like this. I think that's what the
- 25 State Department is worried about.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK: Here is the answer, though.
- 2 The answer is that if those Belgium banks are in a
- 3 conspiracy with RJR, it surely cannot be the case that
- 4 U.S. law does not cover RJR.
- 5 If there is a question about the
- 6 enforceability of a judgment against the Belgium banks,
- 7 presumably the Belgium banks will make that argument,
- 8 and they will claim in Belgium that -- that somehow a
- 9 suit prosecuted in the United States in which they were
- 10 co-conspirators is somehow not going to cover their
- 11 activity.
- 12 Our point is that it can't be the case
- 13 where, for centuries, the United States courts have been
- 14 open to allow foreign plaintiffs to come in alleging
- injury caused by U.S. actors under U.S. law, that
- 16 somehow we're going to read the Morrison principle as a
- 17 way of constricting the available remedies. Nothing in
- 18 Morrison suggests that you would do that kind of
- 19 extraterritorial slicing and dicing, where once you had
- 20 concluded that the underlying action here was one that
- 21 observed and respected international norms and went to
- 22 extraterritorial lengths provided in this case, that
- 23 there is a tie to the United States. And whatever
- 24 remedies are available are available.
- 25 And after Pfizer, a number of U.S. companies

- 1 were concerned about it, and they went to Congress and
- 2 they asked Congress to restrict it. And the hearings
- 3 that we have laid out in our brief -- and this is page
- 4 45, note 11 -- goes into the legislative history of
- 5 this. And the State Department, Justice Breyer, took
- 6 exactly the opposite position, because the State
- 7 Department said, reciprocity demands that we be allowed
- 8 to go into other nations' courts and the availability of
- 9 our courts for foreign nations to come in for violations
- 10 of the U.S. And if you look at footnote 13, which is on
- 11 page 55, the State Department testified to Congress that
- 12 the United States had brought more than 50 actions in
- 13 nations around the world.
- So the idea about comity is one that,
- 15 respectfully, is a decision that is made by Congress,
- 16 and it is not for the executive branch to change its
- 17 position for the purpose of trying to snuff out a remedy
- 18 that otherwise would be available to a foreign
- 19 plaintiff.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. -- Mr. Frederick,
- 21 I understand that argument with respect to what's the
- 22 ordinary remedial provision of a statute. But this is
- 23 something a little bit more than that, right? Because
- 24 this statute also -- this provision also includes
- 25 substantive elements that don't apply except in the

- 1 civil suit for damages. Isn't that right?
- 2 MR. FREDERICK: That's true.
- 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: So why doesn't that make the
- 4 difference?
- 5 MR. FREDERICK: It could. And, Justice
- 6 Kagan, if you were to decide that the three verbs that
- 7 are in 1962(a), (b) and (c), which are influencing,
- 8 buying, or investing in, had to have a domestic
- 9 component, we still would satisfy that, because our
- 10 allegations in the complaint are that RJR from its
- 11 corporate headquarters in New York and Winston-Salem was
- 12 engaging in those conducts to effect and corrupt the
- 13 foreign enterprise, or the domestic enterprise, as was
- 14 the case with Brown & Williamson.
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if we look just at
- 16 1964(c) and apply the Morrison analysis in a
- 17 straightforward way, would that analysis work in this
- 18 way? There isn't any reference in 1964(c) to
- 19 extraterritorial application. And where would be the
- 20 focus of 1964(c)? Would it be the injury to business or
- 21 property?
- MR. FREDERICK: So, Justice Alito, I would
- 23 have two responses. '64, 1964, incorporates directly
- 24 1962. So there is a direct reference to the definition
- of "racketeering" that has the some 46 predicate acts

- 1 that have a clearly textual extraterritorial effect. So
- 2 I think that by incorporation, you would interpret it
- 3 that way.
- And the second thing is that when Congress
- 5 used that language in 1964, it was tracking the Clayton
- 6 Act. And the Clayton Act had a -- a predetermined and
- 7 pre-understood meaning as to what those words meant.
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: But at that time, RICO would
- 9 not have an extraterritorial application, would it?
- 10 MR. FREDERICK: No. My position is that the
- 11 -- the extraterritorial application has gotten stronger
- 12 over time, but if you were to adopt the normal canon of
- 13 construction, which is that when Congress adopts words
- 14 in a statute, they carry with it the meaning that this
- 15 Court has given those words. The same words are in the
- 16 Clayton Act as they were adopted in 1970, and as Justice
- 17 Kagan pointed out, the case has only become stronger
- 18 with the Money Laundering and post-PATRIOT Act additions
- 19 of those predicate acts.
- 20 But the third answer I would give you,
- 21 Justice Alito, is that even in Morrison itself, the
- 22 Court's opinion says once we have found there to be
- 23 extraterritorial application, it's not for us to be
- 24 deciding that there are different provisions that
- 25 shouldn't have that. And that's essentially the

- 1 argument that is being made as to take an extension of
- 2 Morrison beyond where the Court was --
- 3 JUSTICE BREYER: But there is a problem with
- 4 the Clayton Act, even at this time. It's been a
- 5 nightmare for foreign countries, and there has always
- 6 been controversy around it. And there were cases called
- 7 Tamburlaine and others which, you know, led -- led to
- 8 the kind of thing that the SG has described in his
- 9 brief. So the Clayton Act is helpful in one respect,
- 10 but not helpful in the other respect.
- MR. FREDERICK: And my answer is, Congress
- 12 has addressed some of those concerns in the antitrust
- 13 area with various amendments that affect -- that -- that
- 14 only go to conduct.
- And classically, what Congress is getting at
- 16 is conduct, not remedy. But in the conduct area, what
- 17 Congress has done in one of the antitrust amendments for
- 18 the Sherman Act was to say, we are only going to affect
- 19 foreign conduct if it has a domestic effect, but it is
- 20 still a focus on conduct and not on remedy. Congress is
- 21 very well-equipped to deal with this issue should it
- 22 proceed that -- there to be a concern, but Justice
- 23 Breyer, I would point out that it would be odd to
- 24 suppose that Congress is intending to turn the United
- 25 States into a place where criminal activities could

- 1 occur from the United States that was affecting our
- 2 closest allies and there was nothing those allies could
- 3 do about it by going into the United States and trying
- 4 to vindicate and -- and seek redress for those harms.
- 5 That would be a very, very stark departure from this
- 6 country's long history of having respect and -- and
- 7 provide a remedy for foreigners who are harmed by
- 8 actions of the United States and its citizens.
- 9 If I could just address the -- I think I've
- 10 addressed the Empagran question, because that's the
- 11 statute that was affected. And if I could just note
- 12 that the PATRIOT Act -- footnote 10 of our brief points
- 13 out that then-Senator John Kerry, now Secretary of
- 14 State, was very conscious of the effect that this would
- 15 have on foreign nations and foreign litigants. And when
- 16 the PATRIOT Act extended certain of these predicate acts
- 17 into RICO, he stated on the floor -- we cited the
- 18 provision in our brief -- the reason for doing that was
- 19 to give foreign nations that had been affected and who
- 20 were treaty allies an opportunity to come and seek
- 21 redress in our courts.
- 22 The last point is that Mr. Katsas noted the
- 23 choice-of-law principle, where there is a foreign injury
- 24 and there is a decision to apply foreign law, and he
- 25 says, why shouldn't that apply here? That only applies

- 1 where there is a conflict between the laws that would
- 2 apply here -- we are asserting that there is a U.S.
- 3 statutory violation by a U.S. company for actions that
- 4 it committed in part in the United States. There's no
- 5 reason to apply a choice of law, because you have a
- 6 foreign company that is -- or foreign interests that are
- 7 asserting a violation of U.S. domestic law.
- In every instance where Congress made the
- 9 decision to apply RICO extraterritorially, it imposed
- 10 important domestic proof requirements. That is the
- 11 limiting principle on which the Court ought to decide
- 12 this case.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Katsas, you have four minutes remaining.
- 16 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF GREGORY G. KATSAS
- 17 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
- 18 MR. KATSAS: Justice Breyer, you asked about
- 19 government's positions.
- The United States is the party that has to
- 21 live with the consequences of your decision one way or
- 22 the other. They are telling you here that there is a
- 23 comity problem with respect -- there is no inconsistency
- 24 with what they said before. In Empagran, they told you
- 25 the same thing: That providing remedies for foreign

- 1 injuries caused by largely foreign conduct would be a
- 2 problem.
- 3 The EU is here as a party in this case, but
- 4 take a look at what they said in many other cases where
- 5 they were in the more detached position: As an amicus
- 6 in OBB, in Kiobel, in Sosa, and most importantly, in
- 7 Empagran itself, which is the case involving foreign
- 8 injuries caused by largely foreign conduct. They said
- 9 in that case there would be a huge comity problem with
- 10 extending American law.
- 11 With regard to comity, Justice Kagan, you
- 12 asked is it -- is it too policy-ish. It's not because
- 13 you have all of these background presumptions and
- 14 principles. I spoke about the presumption against
- 15 extraterritoriality and about the common law background
- 16 rule of lex loci delicti.
- 17 A third principle is the Charming Betsy
- 18 canon, that you construe Federal statutes not to violate
- 19 international law absent a very clear statement to the
- 20 contrary, and that's what we have here, because Empagran
- 21 says that applying U.S. law to provide redress for
- 22 foreign injuries caused by largely foreign conduct would
- 23 be unreasonable, and therefore, a violation of customary
- 24 international law.
- 25 You also ask why draw the line at injury?

- 1 Once you established the underlying, the underlying
- 2 predicate applies extraterritorially.
- 3 First reason is that the decision to create
- 4 a private right of action is -- is different from the
- 5 decision to criminalize the conduct. The money
- 6 laundering statute applies extraterritorially, but
- 7 there's no private right of action for people injured by
- 8 money laundry.
- 9 The second reason is that Empagran applies
- 10 that principle to the question of injury, and
- 11 specifically does so with regard to the very antitrust
- 12 provisions that were in effect pre-1982, in effect when
- 13 RICO was enacted to say there's this category of cases
- 14 involving foreign injury where the government can
- 15 prosecute but the private party cannot bring an action
- 16 for civil redress.
- 17 And that's an important distinction
- 18 precisely because private parties are not constrained by
- 19 prosecutorial discretion. It is aggressive to apply
- 20 American criminal law, but at least the government --
- 21 the Justice Department has to talk to the State
- 22 Department and take into account any comity concerns,
- 23 any problems that particular prosecutions might bring.
- 24 That is not the case with respect to private plaintiffs.
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. Go ahead.

1	MR. KATSAS: Mr. Frederick said that the
2	underlying predicates here track international law.
3	That is true to in the sense that they are written to
4	be consistent with Section 402 of the third restatement
5	of Foreign Relations, but there is an independent
6	requirement that the application in a particular case
7	must be reasonable under Section 403. That is the
8	provision that you invoked in Empagran. That is the
9	position that is the problem with this case here.
LO	Finally, Mr. Frederick said this case
L1	involves United States conduct. Petitioners are four
L2	transactions removed from the original problem in
L3	Europe, and two transactions removed from the cigarette
L 4	sales. All of all of those involve European
L5	transactions. And Reynolds' alleged conduct involves
L 6	transactions in Europe, Central and South America.
L7	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
L8	The case is submitted.
L 9	(Whereupon, at 12:16 p.m., the case in the
20	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	21.5 46.25	20.22	6.22 0.5 7 11	a44a ah 20.10
A	21:5 46:25	30:22	6:22 9:5,7,11	attach 39:19
a.m 1:14 3:2	activity 20:5	allegations 39:2	9:14 10:19	attacks 12:23
abandoned 3:20	36:10 42:11	44:10	11:2 12:11,13	audiences 38:20
ability 19:25	actor 15:5 35:19	alleged 20:9	44:19 45:9,11	authorized
23:2,12	actors 42:15	40:17 51:15	45:23 51:6	38:10
able 8:2,17	acts 7:19 12:24	alleging 42:14	applications	automatically
26:23	14:3 17:2 37:3	allies 47:2,2,20	20:6,7	5:7
above-entitled	44:25 45:19	allow 41:4 42:14	applied 11:3	availability 43:8
1:12 51:20	47:16	allowed 43:7	19:4	available 42:17
abroad 10:16	actual 25:23	ambassadors	applies 13:13	42:24,24 43:18
13:21,25 20:22	addition 28:2,23	25:5,14	17:24 33:16	aware 32:15
20:22,23 23:23	additional 5:21	amendment	47:25 50:2,6,9	33:9,11
27:9	additions 45:18	12:5,14	apply 9:20 17:25	B
absent 4:6 11:13	address 47:9	amendments	27:17 33:12	
15:24 49:19	addressed 16:1	12:1 46:13,17	43:25 44:16	b 7:18 32:5 44:7
absolutely 40:19	18:5 46:12	America 51:16	47:24,25 48:2	background
accept 38:9	47:10	American 11:21	48:5,9 50:19	11:6,9,11 29:2
accident 9:23	addresses 10:16	32:6,6 33:25	applying 49:21	49:13,15
10:1,3	adopt 45:12	34:1,12 38:13	appreciative	bad 14:13,14,19
account 23:3	adopted 45:16	40:21 49:10	26:22	15:5 18:20
35:22 50:22	adopts 45:13	50:20	approach 22:1	balance 19:14
accountable	advance 26:13	amicus 1:20 2:7	appropriate	banc 15:14
15:16	advantages 22:8	19:18 49:5	27:9 40:3	bank 41:14
accuse 41:5	advice 35:7	analysis 5:18	appropriately	banks 41:2,8
accused 41:3	advisor 30:7,10	44:16,17	35:7	42:2,6,7
act 4:22 8:9 12:5	affairs 18:24	analytical 5:12	approximate	based 19:12
14:22 17:25	21:8	analyzing 4:21	11:11	35:25
40:5,14 45:6,6	affect 46:13,18	answer 6:1,3 7:7	area 31:6 46:13	basically 24:14
45:16,18 46:4	afterthought	9:22,24 23:18	46:16	basis 10:25
46:9,18 47:12	25:12	26:8 27:4	argument 1:13	beginning 11:25
47:16	aggressive 50:19	41:12,21 42:1	2:2,5,9,12 3:3	behalf 1:16,21
action 3:15,22	ago 39:22,23	42:2 45:20	3:7 5:13,21	2:4,11,14 3:8
4:19,24,25 5:4	agree 24:8	46:11	19:17 29:8	29:9 48:17
5:9 7:14 11:6	agreements	antitrust 46:12	42:7 43:21	Belgium 40:24
11:10,23 23:1	40:22	46:17 50:11	46:1 48:16	40:25 41:2,10
23:4 27:8,15	ahead 50:25	anybody 24:25	aside 39:13	41:11 42:2,6,7
30:23 35:2,23	aircraft 13:1	25:2	asked 25:6 43:2	42:8
42:20 50:4,7	20:23	APPEARAN	48:18 49:12	believe 40:1
50:15	airport 7:20 8:7	1:15	asking 31:13	best 26:8
actionable 15:11	8:13,14	appendix 7:18	aspect 25:11	Betsy 49:17
actions 20:1	AL 1:3,6	9:4,9 32:5	asserted 36:1	better 27:13
23:10,13 29:14	Alien 24:9 35:1	33:20	asserting 48:2,7	beyond 22:17
32:23 35:16	Alito 29:18	applicability	assets 39:18	36:23 46:2
43:12 47:8	30:12,18 39:4	21:14,17 22:21	Assistant 1:18	binder 33:21
48:3	39:8,15 44:15	30:8	assume 5:7 41:9	bit 16:10 23:16
activities 20:19	44:22 45:8,21	application 4:3	41:17	43:23
	allegation 3:20	4:6,8,11 6:16	astonish 15:20	blanket 27:14
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

blew 8:13	c 1:21 2:1,10 3:1	47:16	20:7 21:1,12	39:3 40:9,21
blowing 8:14	29:8 44:7	certainly 11:22	35:13 49:19	48:3,6
bore 25:24	call 21:24	36:25	clearly 6:17,17	compared 31:22
branch 43:16	called 46:6	cetera 25:14	12:2 16:12	complaint 19:9
break 21:24	camps 21:11	change 43:16	45:1	38:17 44:10
Breyer 7:16 8:1	Canadian 40:12	channels 38:21	clients 38:10	completely
8:6,12,20,24	Candidly 38:3	Charming 49:17	closed 27:19	24:14 29:16
9:21 10:2,7,12	canon 45:12	Chief 3:3,9	closest 47:2	component 37:4
10:24 23:15	49:18	19:15,20 22:11	co-conspirators	44:9
24:1,4,17	careful 35:8	29:6,10 34:9	41:3,6,19	components
25:20 26:6	carries 7:11	34:14,15,19	42:10	32:3,9
31:1,3,11,12	carry 45:14	35:14,25 36:4	co-extensive	comprehensiv
33:6 40:18	carrying 21:8	36:7,9,13 37:2	28:18	31:19
41:12,13,18,23	cartel 38:23	48:14 51:17	collect 41:7	concern 11:20
43:5 46:3,23	case 3:4,21 7:12	child 10:16,16	come 26:23 30:9	17:15 18:5
48:18 50:25	17:16 18:16,21	choice 48:5	39:17 40:7,13	46:22
brief 7:19 9:13	19:5,11 20:9	choice-of-law	40:13 41:5	concerned 17:6
20:21 24:2	24:9 25:7,8	47:23	42:14 43:9	22:19 43:1
31:19 33:13	26:10,13,14,17	choices 6:10	47:20	concerns 23:3,5
43:3 46:9	29:13,17 32:21	choose 39:5,13	coming 26:12	23:7,10 26:16
47:12,18	33:13 38:1,7	choosing 22:25	39:25	27:12,14 28:2
briefs 5:15	38:17 40:10,11	chose 28:18	comity 19:4	28:6,24 35:18
24:12	42:3,12,22	cigarette 51:13	22:20 23:5,7	35:21 46:12
bring 19:25 23:1	44:14 45:17	cigarettes 36:11	23:10 26:16	50:22
27:19,21 33:7	48:12 49:3,7,9	36:15,18 38:18	27:12,14 28:2	concluded 42:20
33:7 50:15,23	50:24 51:6,9	Circuit 3:11	28:6,24 34:7	conclusion 7:1
bringing 23:4,9	51:10,18,19	cited 12:5 47:17	34:25 35:4,17	conduct 5:3,8,23
23:13	case-by-case	cites 9:4	35:21 43:14	5:24,25 12:2
Britain 24:13,24	27:11	citing 34:16	48:23 49:9,11	12:19 15:7
broad 39:11	cases 17:1 30:11	citizens 13:22	50:22	16:9,9,13 18:6
broadly 19:13	31:23 35:1	14:1,15 20:23	commercial	18:23,25 19:6
brought 29:13	46:6 49:4	47:8	13:1	19:9 20:10,16
29:17 30:11,24	50:13	civil 3:11,14	commits 17:21	20:20 21:15
32:24 38:7	category 50:13	4:19 20:1 23:1	18:7	26:19,21 27:6
43:12	cause 3:15 11:10	44:1 50:16	committed	32:8,21 34:1,7
Brown 44:14	11:11,23 22:19	civilly 14:7	17:23 29:14	34:12,22 46:14
Brussels 25:25	23:5 27:15	claim 27:20 42:8	48:4	46:16,16,19,20
41:6,7	28:21 35:2	claims 3:12	committing	49:1,8,22 50:5
built 37:16	caused 19:5	27:21	12:19	51:11,15
burdensome	42:15 49:1,8	classically 46:15	common 11:6,10	conducting
27:12	49:22	Clayton 40:5,14	11:11 29:2	18:23
business 8:11,12	causes 11:6 27:1	45:5,6,16 46:4	49:15	conducts 44:12
28:19 29:22	causing 22:9	46:9	Community 1:6	confirms 22:8
44:20	causing 22.9 centered 27:6	clear 4:6,21,25	3:5 34:10	conflict 48:1
buying 44:8	Centered 27.0	5:2 6:13 11:13	companies	confused 5:14
Juying 44.0	centuries 42:13	11:14,16,22	42:25	confused 5.14 confusing 24:8
	certain 5:2	13:17 15:24	company 38:13	Congress 4:11
	CCI taili 3.4	13.1/13.24	Company 30.13	Cungi C55 4.11

	_	_	_	. 54
5:8 6:15 8:16	contradictory	Court's 4:9,25	9:1 10:13 23:9	destruction 13:1
11:9,20 12:14	24:21	19:3 30:7	23:14,23 25:23	detached 49:5
15:20 17:4,4	contrary 4:7	45:22	26:24 27:20	detailed 38:18
18:16 20:17	11:13,16 13:18	courts 27:13,18	35:23 38:6,9	determination
22:4 28:18	15:25 49:20	29:21,23 30:9	40:8,13,25	35:4
32:2,14 33:23	controversy	32:24,25 33:2	41:7 44:1	dicing 42:19
35:3 36:24	46:6	33:12 39:5,14	DAVID 1:21	difference 4:23
43:1,2,11,15	conveniens 27:4	41:10 42:13	2:10 29:8	44:4
45:4,13 46:11	27:7 32:23	43:8,9 47:21	deal 13:19 23:5	different 4:19
46:15,17,20,24	corporate 44:11	cover 14:6 42:4	25:15 27:2	4:20 5:3,6 17:8
48:8	corporation	42:10	46:21	25:6 31:9
Congress's	29:14	create 50:3	death 14:19	35:10 45:24
12:17 17:15	correct 14:9	crime 7:24 8:18	deception 6:11	50:4
connection 21:5	23:25 32:24	14:16 22:5	6:13 16:17	difficult 27:13
conscious 47:14	37:17,22	36:14,21 38:22	decide 5:24	direct 37:5
consequences	corrupt 44:12	40:23	16:11 44:6	44:24
38:2 48:21	corrupting 15:7	crimes 12:10,11	48:11	direction 28:4
consideration	15:10 17:11	15:17 16:1	decided 5:19	directly 19:24
25:12	18:25	17:22 18:5	40:10	40:11 44:23
considered	corruption 3:17	20:11 21:21	deciding 45:24	discrete 7:1
35:11	16:4 17:6,10	22:3,5,10	decision 4:9 5:2	discretion 50:19
considering	17:17	32:16 33:25	5:3 19:3 30:8	discussing 13:15
32:15	counsel 19:15	36:1 37:6,10	32:15 43:15	dismiss 27:8
consistency	29:1,6 48:14	37:19	47:24 48:9,21	39:23
31:24	51:17	criminal 4:18	50:3,5	distinct 15:8
consistent 17:7	countries 24:11	16:2 18:23	defend 33:13	distinction 4:14
51:4	24:20 25:13	23:21 38:14	defendant 15:9	4:17 50:17
conspiracy 42:3	27:1 29:20	46:25 50:20	30:20 32:6	documents
consternation	30:9 38:22	criminalize 18:6	34:1,11,23	38:25 39:3
27:2	39:11 40:22	50:5	40:1	doing 8:15,25
constrained	46:5	criminalized 5:8	definition 20:4	14:12,14 15:10
23:4 50:18	country 26:20	criminally 14:3	44:24	17:10 24:24
constricting	33:4 34:8	14:8	delicti 11:12	47:18
42:17	38:20 39:6,14	criticism 24:18	49:16	domestic 3:20
construction	country's 47:6	curiae 1:20 2:7	demands 43:7	3:22,25 4:6,11
45:13	coupled 40:15	19:18	Department	5:23,24 6:9,12
construe 49:18	course 22:3	customary 19:7	1:19 24:5	6:21 9:6,11
construed 40:6	27:16	49:23	25:13,21 26:4	10:20,21 11:3
consult 25:20	court 1:1,13	cut 14:24	31:5 41:25	11:18 12:11,13
26:6	3:10 5:19,23	cutouts 38:14	43:5,7,11	12:24 15:24
consultations	6:13 19:21	cuts 13:22	50:21,22	17:7,17 18:15
26:3	22:23 26:25		departments	21:6,25 22:12
consulting 25:25	27:10,16 29:11	D	25:22	32:18 33:23
context 15:2	30:5 31:18	D 3:1	departure 47:5	36:14 44:8,13
22:13	33:8 35:6	D.C 1:9,16,19,21	described 15:11	46:19 48:7,10
Continental	40:11 45:15	damage 38:5	46:8	domestically
40:10	46:2 48:11	damages 8:3,17	designed 15:3	36:23
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

1 6 1252	42.6	412.12	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14 11 15 22
drafted 35:3	42:6	event 13:12	extraterritori	14:11 15:22
draw 28:11	engaging 44:12	events 13:11	4:4 5:20 7:2,4	16:11 44:20
49:25	England 25:5	eventually 39:16	11:5 28:25	46:20
drawing 28:11	33:7	evidence 30:20	32:11 33:24	follow 5:9
28:14	English 33:8,12	38:24	37:16 49:15	followed 35:7
drug 38:23	enterprise 13:15	exactly 19:8	extraterritori	fool's 16:11
	14:11,12 15:1	43:6	9:12 20:8 32:3	footnote 43:10
E	15:4,6,8,16,23	example 7:17	32:16 33:16	47:12
E 2:1 3:1,1	15:24 16:4,10	8:21 13:20	48:9 50:2,6	foreign 3:12,12
earlier 20:14	16:14 17:9,9	14:15 17:20	extremely 22:16	3:13,15,17
34:16	17:14,14,18	18:24 20:25		6:12,22 8:7,16
easily 35:15,15	18:13 19:22,24	examples 20:20	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{F}}$	11:8,23 12:2,2
economy 11:21	20:11 21:6,8	exclusive 11:18	face 14:19 28:16	12:3,18,19
effect 7:3 25:23	21:15,25 28:10	exclusively 9:17	faced 31:4	13:4,8,20,24
32:7 35:4	35:18 36:16,21	Excuse 7:16	fact 8:16 16:19	14:5,12,25
37:25 44:12	37:1 44:13,13	executive 43:16	21:9 24:8	15:15 19:5,6
45:1 46:19	enterprises 3:12	exercise 31:19	29:24 31:1	19:11 20:19,19
47:14 50:12,12	3:18 10:20,22	expands 12:6	38:8 39:7	21:2,8,9,14
effects 11:18	17:7 18:16	experience	family 17:23,24	26:10 27:1
29:16	20:19 38:16	36:23	far 22:13,14,17	30:9 32:21,21
efficiency 39:25	entities 35:17	expert 41:11	27:9 35:8	32:24,25 33:2
either 13:13,14	40:12	explain 10:3	36:23	33:4,4 35:8,21
14:7 21:25	entity 35:19	explains 13:10	fatal 3:21	38:20 40:6,8
23:3	envision 35:15	express 15:19	Federal 15:18	40:22 41:14
elaborating	errand 16:11	extend 11:22	15:18 27:23	42:14 43:9,18
23:16	ESQ 1:16,18,21	23:23 32:16	37:6 49:18	44:13 46:5,19
ELAINE 1:18	2:3,6,10,13	35:9	figure 6:18	47:15,15,19,23
2:6 19:17	essentially 45:25	extended 3:11	12:16	47:24 48:6,6
element 6:10,11	established 50:1	32:2 47:16	filed 26:5 31:15	48:25 49:1,7,8
6:19 7:11	et 1:3,6 25:14	extending 49:10	39:22	49:22,22 50:14
elements 22:18	EU 24:6,7,11	extends 9:12	Finally 51:10	51:5
34:4 43:25	25:1,1,24 26:4	20:8	find 11:16,16	foreigners 47:7
emanating 34:8	26:16 31:8,21	extension 9:13	41:5	forfeiture 23:19
embassies 25:21	31:24 38:4	33:24 46:1	findings 11:17	forum 27:3,7,9
Embassy 25:3	40:20 41:4,18	extent 5:10 20:8	17:5,18	32:22 39:25
emerges 6:8	49:3	21:16	first 3:14,19	found 7:21 36:6
Empagran 19:3	Europe 29:16,20	extort 18:20	7:17 12:25	36:8 45:22
19:12 22:23	29:23,25 37:10	extraterritorial	23:18 29:24	foundation 17:3
26:25 27:11	38:15,22 39:19	5:25 6:16 9:5,7	50:3	four 9:23 31:25
47:10 48:24	51:13,16	9:14,17 15:19	five 10:4	32:3,9 34:4,18
49:7,20 50:9	European 1:6	20:5,6 21:13	floor 47:17	34:21 48:15
51:8	3:4 29:12,20	21:16 22:21	foci 4:20	51:11
en 15:14	30:14,24 34:10	28:9 35:12	focus 4:5,10 5:7	Frederick 1:21
enacted 50:13	35:17 37:11	37:4,15,20,25	5:16,17,23,25	2:10 25:19
enforce 39:17	38:16 39:10,16	38:1 42:19,22	6:9,19,20 7:5	29:7,8,10,19
enforceability	51:14	44:19 45:1,9	7:10,14 11:18	29:24 30:18
30:2 41:11	evaluate 15:2	45:11,23	12:2 13:17	31:2,11,13
		,20		,,

32:18,25 33:3	going 6:18 17:1	happens 25:25	22:13 35:12	influencing 44:7
33:9,11,17	21:7,7 24:15	hard 12:16 15:3	impacts 18:13	information
34:11,18,20	26:7,13,18,18	35:24	impermissibly	31:14
35:24 36:6,8	27:11,17,17	harm 12:3	6:23	informed 26:4
36:12 37:2,17	28:2 29:3,3	harmed 47:7	implicate 35:17	injured 19:10
37:22 38:6	37:12 39:16	harms 11:23	implicated	33:7 50:7
39:7,15 41:9	41:10 42:10,16	47:4	21:17	injuries 3:12,16
41:15,21 42:1	46:18 47:3	headquarters	import 10:17	3:23 10:20
43:20 44:2,5	Goldenberg	44:11	important 6:4	11:3,7,9 19:5
44:22 45:10	1:18 2:6 19:16	heads 12:16,18	7:5 16:24 22:7	29:22 32:22
46:11 51:1,10	19:17,20 22:22	13:22 14:24	24:20 25:11	49:1,8,22
Frederick's	23:25 24:3	hear 3:3	28:7,24 31:24	injury 3:20,25
23:17	25:17 26:2,9	heard 21:18	31:25 32:10	4:3,4,5 7:13
fundamentally	27:10 28:1,15	hearings 43:2	33:18 34:3	8:5,6,9 11:8,15
5:3	gotten 45:11	heartland 11:1	36:17 48:10	13:14 19:2,23
Furthermore	govern 29:4	held 15:16	50:17	26:19,21 28:19
31:17	governed 11:7	helpers 41:19	importantly	28:20,22 29:2
	government	helpful 46:9,10	49:6	29:4 40:4,12
G	4:14 14:18	history 43:4	imposed 33:23	42:15 44:20
G 1:16 2:3,13	21:20 22:6,7	47:6	48:9	47:23 49:25
3:1,7 48:16	22:16,25 23:1	holding 3:13	imposes 22:11	50:10,14
General 1:19	23:6,19 33:4	21:10	incentive 23:2	inquiry 5:18
32:5	35:20,22 36:17	holds 40:12	23:12	instance 18:10
General's 9:4	36:18 39:5	home 39:25	include 12:7	48:8
33:20	40:15 50:14,20	hostages 20:22	includes 43:24	intend 8:16
Germany 24:12	government's	huge 49:9	including 9:7	intended 5:8
24:24 25:5,22	7:18 9:9,13	human 10:9	inconsistency	intending 46:24
26:1	19:25 48:19	hundred 14:24	48:23	intent 10:17
getting 46:15	government-t	17:2	incorporated	interest 26:22
Ginsburg 3:24	23:6	hurt 8:2,5,12,14	12:24 20:4,15	34:3
4:3,13 13:19	governments	8:17	37:4,24 40:5	interests 22:20
13:25 14:14,21	40:16	hypothesizing	incorporates	29:22 32:7
15:13 17:19	granted 9:22	37:9	44:23	35:5 48:6
18:2,8 27:3	great 27:1	hypothetical	incorporation	intermelded
37:14,18 38:3	greater 23:11	14:5,12 15:2,3	13:10 45:2	16:23
give 12:22 28:13	GREGORY	15:8,10 35:25	incorrect 21:20	internal 39:3
41:12 45:20	1:16 2:3,13 3:7		independent	international
47:19	48:16	I	51:5	7:20 19:8 31:7
given 20:20	guess 5:14 6:24	idea 25:10 43:14	India 40:15	32:10 34:6,24
45:15	8:14	identified 11:20	indication 4:7	42:21 49:19,24
go 12:9 21:4	guidance 35:7	identify 4:10	6:14 11:13,14	51:2
25:21 33:19		13:17	11:16,22 13:17	internationally
40:25 41:7	H	illegal 36:10	15:24 20:7	22:14 35:5
43:8 46:14	hand 24:23,24	38:14,21	individual 18:9	interpret 45:2
50:25	happen 31:7	imagine 36:18	infiltrate 18:17	interpretation
goes 9:15 36:10	happened 12:1	39:24	infiltration 3:17	15:15
43:4	31:3	impact 11:21	16:3 17:6,17	interrupt 28:5
] -	10.5 17.0,17	

introduction	10:2,7,12,23	14:17,25 15:22	39:10 40:2	31:4 34:13,21
38:18	11:24 12:12	16:6,15 17:4	42:4,15 47:24	37:3 43:10
invest 17:14	13:2,4,7,19,25	18:1,4,12	48:5,7 49:10	44:15 49:4
investing 44:8	14:7,14,21	47:22 48:15,16	49:15,19,21,24	looked 38:25
invoke 33:8	15:13 16:5,7	48:18 51:1	50:20 51:2	looking 5:16
invoked 51:8	16:19 17:19	Kennedy 14:7	law-like 28:14	lot 14:12 18:11
involve 5:22	18:2,8 19:15	32:17,20 33:2	laws 30:16 37:11	28:12 36:3,22
51:14	19:20 22:11	33:10,13,15,18	48:1	39:10
involved 20:16	23:15 24:1,4	Kerry 47:13	lawyer 25:1	lots 11:2,2,2
24:10	24:17 25:2,20	killing 20:21	league 40:21	Lynch 15:13
involves 36:25	26:4,6 27:3,25	kind 21:24 23:4	led 46:7,7	Lynch's 13:20
39:1 51:11,15	28:5 29:6,11	30:23 42:18	left 24:24 35:5	
involving 3:12	29:18 30:12,18	46:8	legal 25:22 30:6	M
19:5 49:7	31:1,3,11,12	kinds 16:14	30:10	Mafia 17:21,23
50:14	32:17,20 33:2	20:12,21 23:2	legally 15:8	17:24 18:6
Iran 40:16	33:6,10,13,15	27:11,12,21	legislates 11:9	majority 10:6
issue 7:13 18:15	33:18 34:9,14	Kiobel 49:6	legislative 35:4	making 4:13,16
30:2 46:21	34:15,19 35:14	know 7:6 12:21	35:11 43:4	March 1:10
issues 32:14	35:25 36:4,7,9	16:10 24:5	legitimate 37:1	marketed 36:15
	36:13 37:2,14	25:11 26:15	lengths 42:22	38:19
<u>J</u>	37:18 38:3	30:20 31:6,10	let's 10:7,14,14	matter 1:12 5:15
J 1:18 2:6 19:17	39:4,8,15	36:16,16,17	33:6	25:24 51:20
job 31:7	40:18 41:12,13	39:16 40:19	lex 11:12 49:16	matters 24:20
John 47:13	41:18,23 43:5	41:21 46:7	limit 4:11	mean 10:3 12:15
Judge 13:20	43:20 44:3,5	knowing 24:23	limitation 35:12	12:17 16:7,20
15:13	44:15,22 45:8	knowledge 26:2	limited 3:22 4:6	17:1,20 24:17
judge-made	45:16,21 46:3		8:10	25:9 26:1 28:7
35:6,9	46:22 48:14,18		limiting 48:11	36:13
judgment 27:14	49:11 50:21,25	laid 43:3	line 28:11,14	meaning 45:7,14
35:11 39:18	51:17	language 40:5	31:22 49:25	meaningful
41:13 42:6	K	45:5	links 16:9	10:18 11:2
judgments 30:2 41:11		largely 11:25	list 8:21 12:6,9,9	means 13:2
	Kagan 5:11 6:24 7:18 11:24	38:13 49:1,8 49:22	12:25 13:1	meant 45:7 Mediterranean
jurisdiction 7:19	12:12 13:2,4,7		Listen 10:7	38:15
29:5 30:1,13 30:17 32:22	16:5,7,19	Laughter 24:16 laundering	litigants 47:15	melds 16:12
39:9,12 41:16	27:25 28:5	24:11 34:13,22	litigation 39:25	member 29:12
41:18	43:20 44:3,6	40:23,24 41:6	little 16:10	29:20 30:3,14
jurisdictions	45:17 49:11	45:18 50:6	43:23	30:19,24 31:22
30:11	Katsas 1:16 2:3	laundry 50:8	live 48:21	37:11
jurisprudence	2:13 3:6,7,9	law 5:5 11:6,7	loci 11:12 49:16	members 18:20
5:1	4:2,16 5:11 6:7	11:10,11 19:8	logical 29:16 30:4	mentioned 9:19
Justice 1:19 3:3	7:8,25 8:4,8,19	27:17 28:12	long 47:6	10:15 12:25
3:9,24 4:3,13	8:23 9:2,25	29:2,4,5 30:13	look 6:5 7:17,17	middlemen
5:11 6:24 7:16	10:5,11,14	30:22 32:10	8:12 9:9 10:7	38:14
7:17 8:1,6,12	12:4,21 13:3,6	33:5 34:6,24	11:14 19:9	military-type
8:20,24 9:21	13:9,23 14:2,9	35:6,9 37:7	20:12 25:22	21:2,10
ĺ	ĺ		20.12 20.22	ĺ

. 112.22	16.5	20.12	40.20.40.2	DI 2 1 1 1 1 .
mind 12:23	nightmare 46:5	38:13	48:20 49:3	Philadelphia
17:17 18:17	non 27:4,7 32:22	operation 21:6	50:15	41:5
36:24	normal 34:6	operatives 15:15	pass 12:14	Philippines
Ministries 25:2	45:12	operators 38:15	passive 32:12	40:16
minutes 48:15	norms 42:21	38:23	Patriot 12:5	picks 11:11,12
misconduct 39:1	note 43:4 47:11	opinion 15:14	47:12,16	place 11:8 26:1
missile 20:24	noted 47:22	45:22	pattern 13:16	26:20,21 29:2
moment 12:14	null 37:9	opportunity	14:23 15:11,17	29:3,17 30:4
12:18 40:18	number 20:3,20	28:13 47:20	15:17 16:3,8,9	40:24 46:25
Monday 1:10	28:15 42:25	opposite 43:6	16:13 18:8,10	plain 37:13
money 18:20	0	oral 1:12 2:2,5,9	18:13 20:10	plaintiff 26:17
24:10 34:13,22	-	3:7 19:17 29:8	21:14 28:9	26:18 43:19
40:23,24 41:5	O 2:1 3:1	order 9:3 16:17	36:2	plaintiffs 26:11
45:18 50:5,8	OBB 49:6	22:8	patterns 3:13	26:23 27:19
Morrison 4:9,21	object 17:15	ordinary 43:22	22:4,9	40:7 42:14
5:17 6:8,9,22	observed 20:14	Ore 40:10	penalties 22:17	50:24
13:16 15:23	22:12,23 42:21	organization	penalty 14:20	please 3:10
16:16 42:16,18	obtain 40:7	12:3 13:21,24	people 10:19,19	19:21 27:25
44:16 45:21	obvious 17:12	14:5 21:3,9	14:18 21:23	29:11
46:2	obviously 6:15	organizations	50:7	point 3:19 9:21
motion 27:7	22:16	12:19 13:4,8	people's 12:16	11:4 16:7 19:2
39:23	occur 34:2 47:1	organized 36:21	perfectly 10:18	19:24 22:3
mutually 3:23	occurred 34:22	38:14,22	40:2	28:3 37:3 39:9
	occurring 34:12	original 51:12	permissible	40:11 42:12
N	37:10	ought 24:5	27:24	46:23 47:22
N 2:1,1 3:1	occurs 32:8	48:11	permit 40:6	pointed 20:2
Nabisco 1:3 3:4	odd 46:23	outside 7:21	perpetrator	26:25 29:1
national 20:22	offender 7:21	owned 40:17	32:7	45:17
34:24	offense 13:3		person 7:20 8:4	points 19:23
nationality	offenses 10:16	P	8:4,8,8,17 15:9	47:12
32:11	22:15	P 3:1	15:9,9 17:10	policy-ish 49:12
nations 24:7	officials 31:15	p.m 51:19	33:6	policyish 28:12
34:6 35:8	31:21	page 2:2 43:3,11	personal 28:20	pornography
39:16 43:9,13	oh 5:22 34:19	pages 25:9,10	28:21 30:1,13	10:16
47:15,19	Okay 8:13,24	Panama 38:21	30:16 32:22	posed 32:18
nations' 43:8	9:21 24:15	paradigmatic	39:9,12 41:16	position 14:10
natural 20:16	50:25	18:16	personality	18:2 23:24
21:6 29:17	once 5:19 28:8	part 8:5 34:5	32:12,13	25:7 31:9 43:6
necessarily 5:13	28:10 42:19	48:4	perspective	43:17 45:10
need 13:17	45:22 50:1	particular 12:14	39:24	49:5 51:9
16:16	one-step 39:21	27:23 50:23	Petitioner's 29:1	positions 24:22
Netherlands	ones 10:8	51:6	Petitioners 1:4	31:22,25 48:19
24:13 25:5	open 42:14	particularly	1:17 2:4,14 3:8	possibility 12:22
neutral 31:16	operate 6:18	17:12 26:24	21:18 48:17	possible 16:21
never 25:1	20:17	parties 23:9,11	51:11	21:4 37:12
New 17:23,24	operates 13:21	35:16 50:18	Pfizer 30:7	possibly 12:12
44:11	operating 13:25	party 26:18	40:15,17 42:25	post-PATRIOT
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l ⁻

45:18	4:19,24,25 5:4	provide 3:15 5:4	racketeer 17:13	18:15 49:11
practicing 31:18	5:9 7:14 11:7	6:15 27:5	18:22	50:11
pre-1982 50:12	23:8,9,11	28:19 47:7	racketeering	regulate 23:3,12
pre-understood	26:11,18 27:20	49:21	3:13 11:19,22	regulating 26:22
45:7	33:6 35:16,22	provided 42:22	12:24 18:18,22	regulations 34:7
precisely 30:6	50:4,7,15,18	provides 22:16	20:5 28:10	related 3:23 7:9
50:18	50:24	providing 48:25	35:18 36:2,5	11:4 19:4
predetermined	probably 14:19	provision 4:10	36:10,22,25	relates 16:13
45:6	problem 46:3	9:12 10:18,22	44:25	relations 31:7
predicate 9:3	48:23 49:2,9	10:24 12:7	racketeers 10:21	51:5
12:7,10 15:17	51:9,12	21:7,13 37:20	18:17	relative 13:11
15:17 16:1	problems 50:23	38:5 43:22,24	raised 29:25	relevant 25:2
17:2 21:16	proceed 46:22	47:18 51:8	reach 3:17 15:19	remaining 48:15
36:1 44:25	proceeds 17:14	provisions 4:20	22:17	remedial 43:22
45:19 47:16	18:18	7:1,9 17:8	reaches 36:23	remedies 26:24
50:2	process 39:21	20:17,21 23:20	read 9:8 42:16	26:24 42:17,24
predicates 9:18	products 36:19	23:21 28:17	reading 23:17	48:25
13:10 15:21	profess 41:10	33:19 45:24	37:13	remedy 27:5
20:3,9,12	prohibit 5:2	50:12	really 5:22 7:2	43:17 46:16,20
28:21 36:15	6:16 16:3	punishable	27:6 31:6 39:9	47:7
51:2	prohibition 4:18	37:19	reason 30:6	removed 51:12
present 32:4	4:24	punished 14:4	31:23 38:7	51:13
presented 31:14	prohibitions	purpose 24:5	47:18 48:5	repeat 10:4
presumably	3:16	43:17	50:3,9	repeated 11:17
42:7	prohibits 16:3	Pursuant 8:11	reasonable 51:7	repeatedly
presuming	proof 33:23	put 8:1 23:20,21	reasons 3:14	13:22
41:15	48:10	puts 32:5	21:19	representing
presumption 4:4	property 8:11	putting 39:12,12	REBUTTAL	31:8
5:20 6:2,5,18	28:20 44:21		2:12 48:16	required 34:4
11:5 13:13	prosecutable	Q	rebutted 5:21	requirement
19:4 28:8,25	14:18	question 5:12,12	6:2 28:9	32:19 33:24
49:14	prosecute 21:21	6:1,3,4,5,25	receive 21:4	51:6
presumptions	22:25 50:15	7:7,9,10,12	receiving 21:2	requirements
49:13	prosecuted 14:3	8:15,25 9:15	reciprocity 30:8	48:10
prevail 32:20	42:9	9:23 10:3,4,10	43:7	reserve 19:14
previously 31:10	prosecuting	11:15 13:13,14	recognizing	resolve 19:11
primarily 19:6	22:2,5 35:16	13:15 16:21	12:15	respect 11:15
principle 32:10	prosecution	18:15 23:16,18	recover 8:9	13:12 17:12
32:11,12,12	22:15	27:5,22 29:25	recovery 8:10	21:14,15 22:2
35:10 42:16	prosecutions	31:14 40:4,20	27:23 28:19	22:19 27:15
47:23 48:11	20:1 50:23	41:22 42:5	redress 3:15	33:14 34:7
49:17 50:10	prosecutorial	47:10 50:10	11:7 40:2 47:4	37:8 38:18
principles 19:4	4:15 22:17	questions 20:2	47:21 49:21	43:21 46:9,10
32:1 34:6,25	50:19	quoted 9:13	50:16	47:6 48:23
49:14	protective 32:12		reference 12:8	50:24
prior 16:24	32:13	$\frac{R}{R^{2.1}}$	44:18,24	respected 42:21
private 3:14,21	proved 39:2	R 3:1	regard 17:16	respectfully
	1	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_		_	_
43:15	41:5,19 42:3,4	6:11 16:17	six 40:22 41:2	29:21 30:3,5,9
Respondents 1:7	44:10	see 20:11 26:7	slicing 42:19	30:10,14,15,19
1:22 2:11 3:19	ROBERTS 3:3	28:17 35:24	smoothed 23:7	30:21,23,24
19:10 29:9	19:15 22:11	41:1	snuff 43:17	31:23 32:8
responses 44:23	29:6 34:9,15	seek 40:2 47:4	soil 12:20	33:1 34:2,3,13
responsibility	34:19 35:14	47:20	sold 38:20	34:23,23 35:13
25:24	36:4,7,9,13	seeking 38:11,12	solely 20:6	37:5 38:8,19
restatement	48:14 51:17	seen 38:24	Solicitor 1:18	39:17 40:7,9
51:4	root 22:8	sells 36:18	9:4 32:5 33:20	40:13,17 41:17
restrict 43:2	roughly 37:23	sense 51:3	somebody 8:2,6	42:9,13,23
rests 31:25	rule 6:7 11:6,10	separated 16:20	8:15 9:1 21:4	43:12 46:25
result 5:13	11:12 13:16	16:21	somewhat 31:9	47:1,3,8 48:4
25:11 40:8,14	26:12,13 29:2	separately 4:21	sorry 13:6 27:25	48:20 51:11
Reynolds' 51:15	49:16	September	32:19,25 34:15	statute 3:24,25
RICO 3:11,22	rules 11:11	12:23 13:11	sort 36:21	4:2,2 5:16 6:1
4:14,15 8:2,10		series 17:21	Sosa 49:6	6:6,19,23 7:5
8:10,16,19,25	S	19:10	South 51:16	7:11 9:10,11
9:3,18,19	S 2:1 3:1	serious 22:5	sovereigns 26:10	9:19 11:1 12:8
10:12 11:10,17	sales 51:14	35:17 39:1,2	special 22:8	12:9,25 13:18
12:6 14:4,6,22	satisfied 32:23	set 37:9	26:16	18:9 24:9
15:3,12,16,20	34:24,25	seven 9:6,17	specific 11:17	27:23 33:19,19
16:2,8,12,22	satisfy 44:9	10:8	specifically 9:19	34:5,14,22
17:3,22,24	saying 9:25	severely 14:4	50:11	35:1 36:22
18:10 19:25	10:25 14:22	SG 46:8	spoke 24:25	37:13,15,21
20:1,4,7,15	24:13 30:12,19	Sherman 46:18	25:1 49:14	40:5 41:4
21:22 22:3,8	33:15 34:20	shooting 20:23	stage 39:24	43:22,24 45:14
22:11 26:11	40:1	shores 34:8	stake 22:20 34:4	47:11 50:6
27:19 32:2,24	says 4:2,9,11	show 25:18	35:10	statutes 4:20 9:3
33:3,8,12	6:13 16:8,13	Sicilian 17:21	stark 47:5	9:6,10,16 12:7
35:16 37:12,13	18:10,12,12	18:6	start 19:23	15:18,18 16:2
37:19,24 38:1	45:22 47:25	Sicilian-based	state 24:4 25:12	18:5 32:5
38:5 40:5 45:8	49:21	17:25	25:21 27:7	37:18,23 49:18
47:17 48:9	second 3:11,16	side 23:8 24:15	31:4 37:6,11	statutory 6:9
50:13	5:18 45:4 50:9	significant	41:25 43:5,6	11:17 17:5
RICO's 3:14,16	Secretary 47:13	22:13,14	43:11 47:14	48:3
right 3:22 4:19	section 3:21 4:5	similar 30:14	50:21	stay 24:13
4:24,25 5:4,9	4:17,18,22,22	simplest 39:20	State-owned	step 5:18
7:14 8:23	5:6 6:5,6,9	simplest 35.26 simply 22:15	35:19	stipulate 38:9
13:12 15:1	7:13 14:10,15	24:10	stated 47:17	straight 17:18
17:13 18:3,4	15:6,23 16:18	single 31:18,21	statement 49:19	straightforward
23:22 24:23	17:8 19:24	32:4 33:21	States 1:1,13,20	4:8 44:17
25:10 36:11	21:1,13 22:1	sit 19:2	2:7 7:21,22	strange 29:19
40:19 43:23	22:24,24 23:8	situation 26:19	10:17,20,21	39:4,13
44:1 50:4,7	27:20 28:17,18	31:4 35:5 37:9	17:22 19:18	strong 22:6,16
RJR 1:3 3:4	51:4,7	38:12	21:24 26:23	stronger 45:11
29:25 30:17	Sections 17:13	situations 21:23	27:18 29:13,13	45:17
38:25 39:19	securities 4:22	35:15,21	29:14,15,15,20	strongly 35:19
30.20 37.17		30.10,21	25.11,10,10,20	~··· ong·j 55.17

structure 17:8	taken 31:22	21:20,25 22:22	treaty 47:20	unindicted 41:3
stuff 24:14	35:22	23:10 24:12,19	treble 23:9,13	41:6,19
subject 37:25	talk 10:14 19:22	24:19,20 25:14	26:24 27:20	union 18:17,19
submitted 51:18	21:18 24:22,22	25:17,18 26:9	35:22 38:5,6,9	18:21,24 29:12
51:20	28:6 31:10	26:11 28:3,16	true 11:25,25	29:21 30:14
subsidiaries	50:21	28:23 33:4	20:10 21:19	United 1:1,13,20
39:20	talked 25:4	35:6 37:8	26:12 44:2	2:7 7:21,22
subsidiary	talking 7:18	41:23,24 45:2	51:3	10:17,20,21
29:25	20:13 22:21,23	47:9	try 23:6	17:22 19:18
substantive 3:16	27:16 29:4	third 45:20	trying 6:4 23:13	21:23 26:23
4:24 5:5 22:18	Tamburlaine	49:17 51:4	43:17 47:3	27:18 29:13,14
43:25	46:7	thought 6:25	turn 40:4 46:24	29:15,15,21
sue 29:23 39:5	targeted 38:19	19:1 22:4	turning 14:10	30:5,9,10,15
39:13 40:20	targets 14:1	23:15,18 34:9	two 3:14,23 6:10	30:21,23 32:8
sues 40:21	Tasmania 8:13	34:16 36:2,24	16:12 21:18	33:1 34:2,3,12
suffer 10:19,19	tell 7:3 24:7	three 9:22 10:9	34:21 44:23	34:22,23 35:13
suffered 40:12	31:17 38:25	17:8 24:11	51:13	37:5 38:8,19
suffers 8:9	telling 31:5	26:7 44:6	typical 17:16	39:17 40:7,9
suggested 27:10	48:22	three-quarters		40:13,17 41:16
suggests 13:7	terrorism-rela	37:23	U	42:9,13,23
15:15 42:18	12:10	tie 35:13 37:5,12	U.S 13:22 14:1	43:12 46:24
suing 29:21 38:4	terrorist 12:18	42:23	14:15 20:22,22	47:1,3,8 48:4
suit 31:15 33:8	12:19 13:20,24	time 12:15,18	20:23 30:22	48:20 51:11
39:22 42:9	20:16 21:3,9	19:14 45:8,12	31:14,16 32:7	unreasonable
44:1	test 5:23	46:4	40:1,8 42:4,15	19:7 49:23
superimpose	testified 30:7,10	times 10:4 17:2	42:15,25 43:10	unusual 26:10
14:22	43:11	told 17:5 31:15	48:2,3,7 49:21	26:14
supported 35:20	textual 45:1	48:24	U.S.C 7:19	use 10:21,24
supporting 1:20	Thank 19:15	tool 4:15,15 22:6	10:15	18:17,19 21:22
2:8 19:19	29:6,10 31:13	Tort 24:9 35:1	underlying 4:18	uses 17:13
suppose 21:3	48:13,14 51:17	torture 24:10	5:2 14:2 16:2	usual 26:13,17
46:24	then-Senator	total 25:24	19:9 21:21	usually 26:11
Supreme 1:1,13	47:13	tougher 18:11	22:3,15 36:1	
sure 7:25 12:21	thing 12:6 16:16	track 34:5 51:2	37:21 42:20	<u>V</u>
23:7 28:15	31:5 39:20	tracking 45:5	50:1,1 51:2	v 1:5 3:4
surely 42:3	45:4 46:8	tracks 32:9	underpinning	vacatur 1:20 2:8
sustained 29:22	48:25	trafficking	28:24	19:19
swept 20:18	things 14:13,14	36:11	understand 7:23	varies 30:19
systems 20:24	14:19 16:20,23	training 21:2,5	14:4,11 16:22	various 16:14
T	18:10,20 22:9	21:10,11	26:3 28:7	46:13
	23:22 28:3,16	transaction 6:12	43:21	vast 10:5
T 2:1,1	32:14	6:12,20,22	understanding	vehicle 18:22
table 18:14 19:2	think 6:3,7 8:21	16:17	5:17 39:10,11	verbs 44:6
take 9:10 18:18	9:15 10:24	transactions	understood	versus 13:15
23:2 25:6 33:7	12:17 13:12	19:11 51:12,13	20:18 unindictable	vetted 31:19
46:1 49:4	14:2 16:6	51:15,16	37:6	victim 15:7
50:22	20:25 21:12,19	travels 7:15	37.0	17:10,15 18:23
	•	•	•	•

				62
32:6 33:25	44:11	11:17 1:14 3:2	3 2:4	
34:9,16	wish 19:12	12:16 51:19	30 4:22 5:1	
*				
view 14:5	witnesses 30:21	13 43:10	34 4:22	
views 26:16	wondering	15 39:22	37(b) 7:19	
vindicate 47:4	22:18	15-138 1:4 3:4	4	
violate 10:22	word 9:22 36:25	16 39:22,23	40 5:1	
15:18 17:22	words 5:19 9:22	18 10:15		
19:7 49:18	9:23 36:20	1837 7:19	40-some 32:4	
violated 30:22	45:7,13,15,15	19 2:8	402 51:4	
violating 38:16	work 11:3 25:10	1962 4:17 14:10	403 51:7	
40:1	26:1 31:12	15:23 17:8	45 43:4	
violation 5:4 8:9	44:17	19:24 21:13	46 9:5 44:25	
16:18 18:19	workable 22:1	22:1,24,24	48 2:14	
40:14 48:3,7	working 30:4	28:18 40:11	5	
49:23	works 36:22	44:24		
violations 15:20	world 30:11	1962(a) 17:13	50 8:22 30:11	
40:8 43:9	43:13	44:7	43:12	
violence 7:20	worried 41:23	1962(b) 17:13	55 43:11	
violent 17:21	41:25	1962(c) 15:6	58 12:10	
	wouldn't 37:12	1964 44:23 45:5	6	
W	write 19:12	1964(a) 18:19		
want 9:1 10:4,8	24:18	1964(c) 3:21 4:5	60 12:10	
10:13 23:23	written 51:3	4:18 5:6 7:13	64 44:23	
25:6 28:13	wrong 3:14 5:14	17:16 18:15	7	
31:10 38:8	8:21 10:23	23:8 27:20		
wanted 8:2	wrote 24:1	28:17 44:16,18	8	
23:19		44:20		
Washington 1:9	X	1970 40:6 45:16	9	
1:16,19,21	x 1:2,8	1982 30:7	9/11 12:1 20:15	
way 7:1 18:24				
20:16 36:21	Y	2		
42:17 44:17,18	Yeah 36:7	20 31:17		
45:3 48:21	years 5:1 30:25	200 9:3,18 10:25		
ways 16:14	31:17 39:22,23	2016 1:10		
We'll 3:3	York 44:11	21 1:10		
we're 27:16	York-based	2230 12:7		
42:16	17:23,24	2260 10:15		
we've 17:1 20:20		2332(a)(1) 14:16		
22:12 33:20	Z	14:17		
well-equipped		2332b(g)(5)(B)		
46:21	0	12:8		
went 25:4 42:21	1	2339D 21:1		
43:1		26 29:12 30:3		
Williamson	10 47:12	27 24:7		
44:14	10(b) 4:22 6:10	29 2:11		
win 40:24	16:18			
Winston-Salem	11 12:23 13:11	3		
Windton-Salvill	43:4			
i				